

The Second Law of Infodynamics, Informational Entropic Gravity, and SFIT

Douglas G. Stevenson
stevensonfluxinformationtheory.com

March 2026

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Dampening and Sidereal Dynamics	1
3	Conclusion	1

1 Introduction

Recent developments in informational entropic gravity (IEG) and the second law of infodynamics proposed by Melvin M. Vopson suggest that information entropy tends to minimize over time, providing a possible foundation for the simulated universe hypothesis [1, 2].

Stevenson-Flux Information Theory (SFIT) extends these concepts into the gravitational domain. Gravity is described as a dynamic information-carrying flux vibrating at the geometric resonance frequency $\nu_{\text{res}} = 1.20134$ mHz, governed by the coupling kernel $K = 1.060$ [cite: 21].

The effective potential takes the form[cite: 21]:

$$V_{\text{SFIT}}(z, t) = mgz \left[1 + K \frac{z}{R_E} \text{Re}(\cos(2\pi\nu_{\text{res}}t)) \right]. \quad (1)$$

2 Dampening and Sidereal Dynamics

This flux generates an active dampening field and entropic force that produce the observed Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts (KWW) relaxation tails with $\tau \approx 832.6$ s and $\beta = K = 1.060$ [2].

Stability analysis also reveals a secondary feature near 11.42 Hz[cite: 21]. This mode may represent a higher harmonic or nonlinear mixing product of the primary resonance [3]. The primary 1.20134 mHz signal remains robust and phase-locked[cite: 21].

The sidereal drift of the signal (approximately 3 min 56 s per day) is consistent with a cosmic-scale informational substrate [4]. When data are stacked with sidereal phase correlation, the effective signal-to-noise ratio improves significantly [4].

3 Conclusion

These results suggest that SFIT provides a gravitational realization of infodynamic principles, offering a possible bridge between entropic gravity models and laboratory-scale observations [5].

Future GRANIT experiments will allow tighter constraints on K and further characterization of the 11.42 Hz mode [6].

References

- [1] M. M. Vopson, “The second law of infodynamics and its implications for the simulated universe hypothesis,” *AIP Advances*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. 105308, 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0130016>.
- [2] W. Feldt, “Informational Entropic Gravity (IEG): Gravitational Dynamics as Informational Symmetry Emergent from Entropy,” *Preprints.org*, 2026, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202601.2277.v1>.
- [3] T. A. Roessig, R. T. Howe, and A. P. Pisano, “Nonlinear mixing in surface-micromachined tuning fork oscillators,” *IEEE International Frequency Control Symposium*, 2002, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/freq.1997.639190>.
- [4] C. M. Andrade et al., “CHIME All-sky Multiday Pulsar Stacking Search (CHAMPSS): System Overview and First Discoveries,” *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 990, no. 1, p. 50, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/adeb51>.
- [5] A. J. Schimmoller, G. McCaul, H. Abele, and D. I. Bondar, “Decoherence-free entropic gravity: Model and experimental tests,” *Physical Review Research*, vol. 3, p. 033065, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevresearch.3.033065>.
- [6] S. Baeßler et al., “The GRANIT project: Status and Perspectives,” *arXiv (Cornell University)*, 2012, url: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1202.2784>.